

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/648,048 | 08/26/2003 | | Timothy Baker | 0212.67615 | 3223 | |
| 24978 | 7590 | 06/15/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| GREER, B | URNS & | CRAIN | | WATTS, DO | WATTS, DOUGLAS D | |
| 300 S WAC | KER DR | | | | | |
| 25TH FLOO | R | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CHICAGO, | IL 60600 | 5 | 3724 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| Office Astion Comments | 10/648,048 | BAKER, TIMOTHY |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Douglas D. Watts | 3724 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | · |
| 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7 and 9-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5,8,13 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed accompose | epted or b) objected to by the lddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object. | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| | or me dermide doplos not receive | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/18/05. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | |

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maier (2,900,856) in view of Anderson (5,982,059). Maier shows an attachment for a power driven wrench. The attachment shows the claimed features except that there is no generator and light. Anderson shows a generator and light for a power tool similar to that of Maier. The light shines tword the work area. Obviously one of ordinary skill in the art would add such a light to the device of Maier. The light and generator would obviously be directed tword the work area and thus be mounted in the 90 degree fitting of Maier. Note that lenses are old and such lighting and would be obvious to use with such a device. Driving a saw in place of a drill is old and would be obvious to add to the Maier device to increase its versatility. Compression band mountings are old and would be a mechanical equivalent to the threads of Maier. The modification is thus obvious.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 8, 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas Watts whose telephone number is (571) 272-,4515. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:30AM – 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DW

Douglas D Watts Primary Examiner

Dans Dleux

6/8/05